## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America

## ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

v.

Thomas Leon Hamalowa				Case Number: 17-4307MJ		
			he Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 31 lowing facts are established: (Chec	42(f), a detention hearing has been submitted <i>k one or both, as applicable.</i> )	to the Court. I	
$\boxtimes$	by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.					
$\boxtimes$			lerance of the evidence the defend nding trial in this case.	ant is a serious flight risk and require the	detention of the	
			PART I FIN	DINGS OF FACT		
	(1)	offens		lant has been convicted of a (federal offens fense if a circumstance giving rise to federal		
			a crime of violence as defined in 1	8 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).		
				n sentence is life imprisonment or death. erm of imprisonment of ten years or more is p	prescribed in	
			offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § any felony that involves a minor	the defendant had been convicted of two or m 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local victim or that involves the possession or use are defined in section 921), or any other danger 18 U.S.C. §2250.	al offenses. e of a firearm or	
	(2)	2) 18 U.S.C. §3142(e)(2)(B): The offense described in finding 1 was committed while the defendant w on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.				
	(3)	18 U.S.C. §3142(e)(2)(C): A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date conviction)(release of the defendant from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding 1.				
	(4)	condi	- <del> </del>	rebuttable presumption that no condition or ety of (an) other person(s) and the community esumption.		
			Alterna	tive Findings		
	(1)	18 U.	S.C. 3142(e)(3): There is probable	cause to believe that the defendant has comm	itted an offense:	
			under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c), 956(a), under 18 U.S.C. 1581-1594, for w prescribed.	risonment of ten years or more is prescribed in or 2332b. hich a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 m under section	years or more is	
			an offense myorving a filmor victil	in direct section	•	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

]	(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.		
		Alternative Findings		
]	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions wi reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.		
]	(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.		
	(3)	nere is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, of timidate a prospective witness or juror).		
	(4)			
		PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)		
]	(1)	I find that the credible testimony and information <sup>3</sup> submitted at the hearing establishes by clear an convincing evidence as to danger that:		
	(2)	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:		
		<ul> <li>□ The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.</li> <li>□ The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bon reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.</li> </ul>		
		☐ The defendant has a prior criminal history.		
		☐ There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.		
		☐ The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. ☐ The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of		
]	The o	defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:		
	T 1	17.2		
		dition:		
	charg dange	defendant submitted the issue of detention. Based on the defendant's substance abuse, the nature of the dese, and his criminal history, which includes violent offenses, the Court finds that the defendant poses are to the community. The defendant's criminal history also includes failures to appear and an escap description. He is unampleyed and does not have financial ties to the community. Therefore, the Court finds		
		iction. He is unemployed and does not have financial ties to the community. Therefore, the Court find the also poses a flight risk.		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Insert as applicable 18 U.S.C. §§1201, 1591,2241-42, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3, 2252(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

## PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

## PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

Dated this 6th day of December, 2017.

Bridget S. Bade

United States Magistrate Judge